

Lindenbaum's Lemma As An Axiom For Infinitary Logic

Rob Goldblatt

Victoria University of Wellington

British Logic Colloquium, Nottingham, September 2008

Topics:

- Deduction systems for logics of coalgebras of certain **measurable polynomial** functors on the category **Meas** of measurable spaces.
- The role of Lindenbaum's Lemma in these **infinitary** logics.

Lindenbaum's Lemma: (1920's)

Every consistent set of sentences can be enlarged to form a consistent and complete system.

Published by Tarski in 1930.

Stated for **Finitary** Consequence Operators:

if $\varphi \in Cn(X)$, then $\varphi \in Cn(Y)$ for some **finite** $Y \subseteq X$.

- implies: the union of a chain of consistent sets is consistent

Henkin 1953 –1955:

Stone's representation of Boolean algebras is equivalent (without choice) to the Gödel-Malcev completeness theorem.

What is a coalgebra ?

Let $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor.

T -coalgebra (A, α) : α is a \mathcal{C} -arrow of the form

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} TA$$

A = **state set**

α = **transition structure**

Morphism of T -Coalgebras

$$(A, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \beta)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ TA & \xrightarrow{Tf} & TB \end{array}$$

i.e. $\beta \circ f = Tf \circ \alpha$

Example 1: Directed Graphs / Modal frames

(A, R) with $R \subseteq A \times A$.

Define $\alpha(x) = \{y : xRy\} \subseteq A$.

Then

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{P}A$$

is a \mathcal{P} -coalgebra, where

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is the **powerset functor**.

$$xRy \text{ iff } y \in \alpha(x).$$

Example 2: Input-Output Automata

$TA = A^I \times O^I$, for some fixed sets

I (= **inputs**) and O (= **outputs**).

A T -coalgebra

$$A \longrightarrow A^I \times O^I$$

is a pair of functions

$$A \longrightarrow A^I, \quad A \longrightarrow O^I,$$

or equivalently

$$A \times I \longrightarrow A$$

$$A \times I \longrightarrow O$$

state transition function, and
output function.

Other examples: data structures

lists, streams, stacks, trees, . . .

algebra **constructs**

coalgebra **deconstructs**

The Category **Meas**

Objects: measurable spaces

$$\mathbb{X} = (X, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{X}}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a σ -algebra of **measurable** subsets of X .

Arrows $(X, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f} (X', \mathcal{A}')$:

measurable functions $X \xrightarrow{f} X'$, i.e. $A \in \mathcal{A}'$ implies $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}$

Polynomial functors $T : \mathbf{Meas} \rightarrow \mathbf{Meas}$

are constructed from

- the identity functor $Id : \mathbb{X} \mapsto \mathbb{X}$

and

- constant functors (every \mathbb{Y}) $\mapsto \mathbb{X}$

by forming

- products $T_1 \times T_2 : \mathbb{X} \mapsto T_1\mathbb{X} \times T_2\mathbb{X}$,

- coproducts $T_1 + T_2 : \mathbb{X} \mapsto T_1\mathbb{X} + T_2\mathbb{X}$,

and

- exponential functors $T^E : \mathbb{X} \mapsto (T\mathbb{X})^E$ with fixed exponent E .

Measurable polynomial functors:

Constructible using also

$$\Delta T : \mathbb{Y} \longmapsto \Delta(T\mathbb{Y})$$

where $\Delta\mathbb{X}$ is the space of all probability measures on \mathbb{X} .

Measure: $\mu : \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is countably additive with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

- **Countably additive:**

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \sum_0^\infty \mu(A_n) \quad \text{if } A_n \text{'s pairwise disjoint.}$$

- **Probability measure:** $\mu(X) = 1$.

The σ -algebra on $\Delta\mathbb{X}$ is generated by the sets

$$\beta^p(A) = \{\mu \mid \mu(A) \geq p\}$$

where $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and $p \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem [L. Moss and I. Viglizzo, *Inform. & Comp.* 2006]

For any measurable polynomial T , there exists a *final*
 T -coalgebra $\mathbb{X}_{\text{final}}$

for each T -coalgebra \mathbb{Y} there is a unique morphism

$$\mathbb{Y} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{X}_{\text{final}}$$

Motivation: “universal type spaces” in game-theoretic economics.

Moss-Viglizzo Construction: model-theoretic

Syntax for fixed T

(cf. B. Jacobs, *Many-sorted coalgebraic modal logic*, 2001)

- **Ingredient:** any functor involved in formation of T , or Id .
- **$\text{Ing}T$:** the graph of ingredients of T (multi-edged labelled directed)

$$1 \quad S_1 \times S_2 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_j} S_j \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, 2\};$$

$$2 \quad S_1 + S_2 \xrightarrow{\text{in}_j} S_j;$$

$$3 \quad S^E \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_e} S \quad \text{for all } e \in E;$$

$$4 \quad \Delta S \xrightarrow{\cong^p} S \quad \text{for } p \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}};$$

$$5 \quad Id \xrightarrow{\text{next}} T.$$

Many-sorted formulas

Notation: $\varphi : S$ means φ is a formula of sort $S \in \text{Ing} T$

- 1 $\perp_S : S$
- 2 If $\varphi_1 : S$ and $\varphi_2 : S$, then $\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 : S$
- 3 $A : \mathbb{X}$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{X}}$ or A is a singleton subset of \mathbb{X}
- 4 If $S \xrightarrow{\kappa} S'$ in $\text{Ing} T$ with $\kappa \neq (\geq p)$, and $\varphi : S'$, then $[\kappa]\varphi : S$
- 5 If $\Delta S \in \text{Ing} T$ and $\varphi : S$, then $[\geq p]\varphi : \Delta S$ for any $p \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$

Probability modality: $[\geq p]\varphi$ is read “the probability is at least p that φ ”.

Satisfaction relation

For a T -coalgebra (\mathbb{X}, α) , define

$$\alpha, x \models_S \varphi$$

for $x \in S\mathbb{X}$, and $\varphi : S$. Put $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_S^\alpha = \{x \mid \alpha, x \models_S \varphi\}$.

$$\alpha, x \not\models_S \perp_S$$

$$\alpha, x \models_S \varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha, x \models_S \varphi_1 \text{ implies } \alpha, x \models_S \varphi_2$$

$$\alpha, x \models_{\mathbb{Y}} A \quad \text{iff} \quad x \in A$$

$$\alpha, x \models_{S_1 \times S_2} [\text{pr}_j] \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha, \pi_j(x) \models_{S_j} \varphi$$

$$\alpha, x \models_{S_1 + S_2} [\text{in}_j] \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad x = \text{in}_j(y) \text{ implies } \alpha, y \models_{S_j} \varphi$$

$$\alpha, f \models_{SE} [\text{ev}_e] \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha, f(e) \models_S \varphi$$

$$\alpha, x \models_{Id} [\text{next}] \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \alpha, \alpha(x) \models_T \varphi$$

$$\alpha, \mu \models_{\Delta S} [\geq p] \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \mu(\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_S^\alpha) \geq p$$

Semantic Consequence Relations

- Local

$\Gamma \models_S^\alpha \varphi$: $\alpha, x \models_S \Gamma$ implies $\alpha, x \models_S \varphi$, all $x \in S\mathbb{X}$.

- Global

$\Gamma \models_S \varphi$: $\Gamma \models_S^\alpha \varphi$ for all T -coalgebras α

- $Conseq_T^\alpha = \{ \models_S^\alpha \mid S \in \text{Ing } T \}$

- $Conseq_T = \{ \models_S \mid S \in \text{Ing } T \}$

Role of Proof Theory

to give a **syntactic** characterisation of the many-sorted system

$$\mathit{Conseq}_T = \{ \models_S \mid S \in \mathit{Ing} T \}.$$

Should depend only on

- syntactic shape of formulas
- basic set-theoretic properties of sets of formulas.

Answer: Conseq_T is the **least Lindenbaum deduction system** for T

Strategy

- 1 Axiomatically define the notion of a **T -deduction system** :

$$D = \{ \vdash_S^D \mid S \in \text{Ing } T \}$$

- 2 Define D to be **Lindenbaum** if every \vdash_S^D -consistent set of formulas can be enlarged to a \vdash_S^D -maximal one.

- 3 Observe that

- ▶ Each local system $\text{Conseq}_T^\alpha = \{ \models_S^\alpha \mid S \in \text{Ing } T \}$ is a Lindenbaum deduction system.
- ▶ The global system $\text{Conseq}_T = \{ \models_S \mid S \in \text{Ing } T \}$ is a Lindenbaum deduction system, hence extends the least one.

- 4 If D is Lindenbaum, construct a “canonical” T -coalgebra (\mathbb{X}^D, α^D) such that

$$\Gamma \models_S^{\alpha^D} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \vdash_S^D \varphi,$$

i.e. $\text{Conseq}_T^{\alpha^D} = D$.

Conclusion

- 1 The local semantic consequence systems $Conseq_T^\alpha$ are **exactly** the Lindenbaum T -deduction systems.
- 2 The global semantic consequence system $Conseq_T$ is the **least** Lindenbaum T -deduction system.

When D is $Conseq_T$, (\mathbb{X}^D, α^D) is a **final** T -coalgebra.

Rules for Deduction Systems

- Assumption Rule: $\varphi \in \Gamma \cup Ax_S$ implies $\Gamma \vdash_S \varphi$.
- Modus Ponens: $\{\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi\} \vdash_S \psi$.
- Cut Rule: If $\Gamma \vdash_S \psi$ for all $\psi \in \Sigma$ and $\Sigma \vdash_S \varphi$, then $\Gamma \vdash_S \varphi$.
- Deduction Rule: $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash_S \psi$ implies $\Gamma \vdash_S \varphi \rightarrow \psi$.
- Constant Rule: If $\mathbb{X} \in \text{Ing } T$, $\{\neg\{c\} \mid c \in X\} \vdash_{\mathbb{X}} \perp_{\mathbb{X}}$.
- Definite Box Rule: For each edge $S \xrightarrow{\kappa} S'$ in $\text{Ing } T$ with κ **definite**, $\Gamma \vdash_{S'} \psi$ implies $\{[\kappa]\varphi \mid \varphi \in \Gamma\} \vdash_S [\kappa]\psi$.
- Archimedean Rule: If $\Delta S \in \text{Ing } T$, $\{[\geq q]\varphi \mid q < p\} \vdash_{\Delta S} [\geq p]\varphi$.
- Countable Additivity Rule:
 $\{\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_n, \dots\} \vdash_S \psi$ implies
 $\{[\geq p](\varphi_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n) \mid n < \omega\} \vdash_{\Delta S} [\geq p]\psi$.

Failure of Lindenbaum's Lemma

- \mathbb{N} = the constant functor for the discrete space $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- T = the exponential functor $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}$
- $\text{In}g T$ looks like $Id \overset{\text{next}}{\rightsquigarrow} T \overset{\text{ev}_r}{\rightsquigarrow} \mathbb{N}$
- $(r \mapsto n)$ is the formula $[\text{next}][\text{ev}_r]\{n\}$, of sort Id
- A T -coalgebra (\mathbb{X}, α) has a transition function $\alpha : X \rightarrow \omega^{\mathbb{R}}$, with
$$\alpha, x \models_{Id} (r \mapsto n) \text{ iff } \alpha(x)(r) = n.$$
- $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} = \{(r \mapsto n) \rightarrow \neg(s \mapsto n) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq s, n \in \omega\}$.
- $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is **unsatisfiable**:
if $\alpha, x \models_{Id} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\alpha(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \omega$ is **injective** ☹️

- There is a T -deduction system D for which $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ is \vdash_{Id}^D -consistent.
- If D was Lindenbaum, then $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq x$ with x being \vdash_{Id}^D -maximal.
But then $\alpha^D, x \models_{Id} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ ☹️

Reference

Deduction Systems for Coalgebras Over Measurable Spaces.
J. Logic & Computation, to appear

<http://www.mcs.vuw.ac.nz/~rob>