CAS 701 Presentation

Ackermann's Function

Qinglei Zhang, Nov. 20, 2008.

History

The belief in the early 1900s: every computable function was also primitive recursive

- A strict subset of the recursive functions: every primitive recursive function is total recursive, but not all total recursive functions are primitive recursive.
- Well known Counterexample: David Hibert(On the Infinite), Gabriel Sudan, Wihelm Ackermann(1928)

Partial Recursive Functions

General Recursive Functions

Primitive Recursive Functions

Basic conceptions

- Recursive function theory: one way to make formal and precise the intuitive, informal, and imprecise notion of an effective method.
- Church's thesis: every function that is effectively computable in the intuitive sense is computable in these formal ways.

Inductive Definition of Primitive Recursive Functions

- The initial functions: The zero function, the successor function, and all projection functions.
- Functions which arise by composition and primitive recursion from primitive recursive functions.
- In the programming language: it has FORloops as the only iterative control structure.

Recursive Function

- Algorithms can be written in the form of WHILE-Loop.
- Technically, add a construct operation called minimization which does something equivalent.

Unbounded search: If we say that g(x) is a function that computes the least x such that f(x) = 0, then we know that g is computable. We will say that g is produced from f by

minimization.

Ackermann's Function

- Ackermann originally considered a function of three variables $A(m,n,p) = m \rightarrow n \rightarrow p$ (Conway chained arrow notation).
 - Hyper operators: a variant of Ackermann function For the successive operators beyond exponentiation. hyper(a,n,b)= a↑ ⁽ⁿ⁻²⁾ b (knuth's up-arrownotation) =a→b→(n-2).
 - Ackermann proved that A is computable and not a primitive recursive function.

Different Versions of Ackermann's function

- Van Heijenoort(1928)
- ack(x,y,z) = $\begin{cases} y+z & \text{for } x=0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x=1,z=0, \\ y & \text{for } x=2,z=0, \\ y & \text{for } x>2, z=0, \\ ack(x-1,y,ack(x,y,z-1) & \text{for } x,z>0. \end{cases}$ Analysis: $ack(0,y,z)=y+z; \\ ack(1,y,z)=y+z; \\ ack(1,y,z)=y+z; \\ ack(2,y,z)=y^{2}; \\ ack(3,y,z)=hyper(y,4,z+1). \end{cases}$

Hyper operator

Buck(1963): recursively define an infix triadic operator

$$ack-b(x,y) = \begin{cases} y+1 & \text{for } x=0, \\ 2 & \text{for } x=1, y=0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x=2, y=0, \\ 1 & \text{for } x>2, y=0, \\ ack-b(x-1, ack-b(x,y-1)) & \text{for } x,y>0. \end{cases}$$

Analysis: ack-b(0,y) = hyper(2,0,y)=y+1; (Successor function) ack-b(1,y) = hyper(2,1,y)=2+y; (Summation) ack-b(2,y) = hyper (2,2,y)=2×y; (multiplication) ack-b(3,y)=hyper(2,3,y)=2^y; (exponentiation) ack-b(4,y) = hyper(2,4,y)=y2; (superpower, power towers) Rósza Péter(1935), Raphael M. Robins(1948)

$$ack-p(a,b) = \begin{cases} b+1 & \text{for } a=0, \\ ack-p(a-1,1) & \text{for } a>0, b=0, \\ ack(a-1, ack-p(a,b-1)) & \text{for } a, b>0. \end{cases}$$

ack(a-1, ack-p(a,b-1) for a,b>0. ■ Analysis: ack-p(0,b)=b+1; ack-p(1,b)=2+(b+3)-3; $ack-p(2,b)=2\times(b+3)-3;$ $ack-p(3,b)=2^{(b+3)-3}=(2^{(b+3)})-3=hyper(2,3,b+3)-3;$ $ack-p(4,b)=(2^{(1)}(b+3))-3=hyper(2,4,b+3)-3;$

ack-p(a,b)=hyper(2,a,b+3)-3.

Values of A(m,n) (Rósza Péter version)

a\b	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	2	3	4	5
1	2	3	4	5	6
2	3	5	7	9	11
3	5	13	29	61	125
4	13	65533	2 ⁶⁵⁵³⁶ -3	2 ²⁶⁵⁵³⁶ -3	A(3,A(4,3))

Computing the value of Ackermann function: Example (Rósza Péter version) A(4,3)=A(3,A(4,2)) =A(3,A(3,A(4,1))) b decrease =A(3,A(3,A(4,0)))=A(3,A(3,A(3,1))) a decrease =... =A(3,A(3,A(3,13)))=... =A(3, A(3, 65533)) $=A(3, 2^{65536}-3)$ $=2^{2^{65536}} \approx 10^{10^{10^{19727.78}}}$

Ackermann is total computable functions

- A(0; y) = y + 1
 A(x + 1; 0) = A(x; 1)
 A(x + 1; y + 1) = A(x;A(x + 1; y))
 (1)
 (2)
 (3)
- Define the lexicographical order on N×N as follows:

(x; y) > (x0; y0) iff x > x0 or (x = x0 and y > y0):

a well-ordering of order type $\omega^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$

The clauses (2) and (3) lead to lexicographically smaller arguments; this cannot go on forever, so A must finally halt.

Ackermann is not primitive recursive

Theorem: The Ackermann function dominates every primitive recursive function in the sense that there is a k such that

 $f(\mathbf{x}) < A(\mathbf{k}, \sum \mathbf{x}),$

Where f is a primitive function, $\sum x$ is the sum of all the components of x.

Sketch of proof:

One can argue by induction on the buildup of *f*. Deal with the atomic functions and then show that the property is preserved during an application of composition and primitive recursion.

So in particular, A is not primitive recursive.

Applications

Inverse Ackermann function (extremely slowgrowing function) $\alpha(m,n)=min \{i ≥ 1, A (i, [m/n]) ≥ log₂n \}$

For all practical purposes: $\alpha(m,n)$ can be regarded as being a constant, less than 5. In the time complexity of some algorithm: Union-Find problem: $O(\alpha(m,n)+n))$,

Use as Benchmark: Compliers' ability to optimize recursion.

Reference

- Ackermann function: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ackerman_function
- Hyper operator: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyper_operator
- Versions of Ackermann's Function: http://www.mrob.com/pub/math/In-2deep.html
- A. Garrido. Primitive Recurison and μ-Recursivity. Journal of Numerical Analysis, Industrial and Applied Mathematics (JNAIAM), vol.1,no.3,pp.273-280, 2006.
- B. Nordstrom. Primitive Recursive Functions. Models of Computation, Chalmers and University of Goteborg, 2005.
- K. Sutner. Primitive and General Recursive Functions. Presentation, Carnegie Mellon University, 2006.
- R.Seidel. Understanding the inverse Ackermann function. EWCG 2006, Delphi, March 27–29, 2006.